UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,186	05/06/2005	Mats Leijon	37399-400300	5301
27717 SEYFARTH SI	7590 11/05/200 HAW LLP	8	EXAMINER	
	ORN ST., SUITE 2400		TAMAI, KARL I	
CHICAGO, IL	00003-3803		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,186	LEIJON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	KARL I.E. TAMAI	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affic al (with appeal fee) in compliar	lavit, or other evidence, v nce with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ailing date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)) <u>.</u>		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amo nortened statutory period for reply	unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a br	rief, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or	arragnanding number of finally	rejected eleime	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	· · · ·	Compliant Amondment (DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Compliant Amendment (FTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the proposed or amended the pr		te timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	wabie ii subiliilled iii a separa	te, timely filed afficilities	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,15 and 16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing	Notice of Anneal will no	t he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowan	ice because:
	OTO/CD/00\ Dener Ne/e\		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
	/ Karl I.E. Tamai /		
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2834		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The inclusion of both claim 8 and 10 into the independent claim is a new issue requiring further consideration. The examiner notes the Applicant's arguments regarding motivation to combine the references is not peruasive because both Stevenson and Kawamura are directed to electric machine in vehicles.